

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/649,973	08/29/2000	Norbert George Vogl	YOR920000532US1	9168	
75	590 09/17/2004		EXAMINER		
HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP 4 Research Drive		BAROT, BHARAT			
Shelton, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2155		

DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/649,973		VOGL ET AL.	(
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	······
		Bharat N Ba	ırot	2155	
	The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the	over sheet w	vith the correspondence address -	
Period for		TO	EVOIDE OF	AONITUKO EDOM	
THE M - Extens - after SI - If the pi - If NO p - Failure Any rei	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ions of time may be available under the provisions of X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communeriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto reply within the set or extended period for reply work to reply within the Set or extended period for reply work received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even nication. days, a reply within the statute there is no even within the statute. It is not statute, and will by statute cause the application.	t, however, may a ory minimum of th expire SIX (6) MC ation to become A	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status					
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>07 <i>June 2004</i></u> .			
-		o)⊠ This action is no	n-final.		
3)□ \$	Since this application is in condition for				s is
C	closed in accordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex parte Qu</i> a	yle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositio	on of Claims				
4) 🖂 (Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.			
	a) Of the above claim(s) is/arc		sideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.				
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election re	quirement.		
Application	on Papers				
9)□ 1	he specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
10) 🔲 🛭	he drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)	☐ objected t	o by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objec	tion to the drawing(s) be	e held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is require	d if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).
11) 🗌 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. No	te the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-15.	2.
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) 🗌 🗸	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
-	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have beer	n received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have beer	ı received in	Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	of the priority docume	nts have bee	en received in this National Stage	Э
	application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT Rule	÷ 17.2(a)).		
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certif	ied copies n	ot received.	
Attachment			, –		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	TO-948)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Pape	No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/07/2004</u> .	,	6) Other: _	·	
J.S. Patent and Ti		Office Action Summa	rv	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 200	040908

Art Unit: 2155

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

1. Claims 1-19 remain for further examination.

The new grounds of rejection

2. Applicants' amendments and arguments with respect to claims 1-19 filed on June 07, 2004 have been fully considered but they are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1-11 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takamoto et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,903,724) in view of Sherer et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,875,175).

Art Unit: 2155

5. As to claim 1, Takamoto et al teach a method of doing business over a network (see abstract; and figures 1-2) comprising the steps of: receiving a request for transmitting digital information, the digital information having a number of packets; determining the time required to transmit the digital information; scheduling a transmit time for the digital information; and accepting the digital information for transmission (figures 2, 9, and 15-17; column 6 line 65 to column 7 line 10; column 8 lines 13-36; and column 11 line 22 to column 12 line 54).

However, Takamoto et al do not teach the steps of: receiving, determining, scheduling, and accepting with specific conditions.

Sherer et al teach a method of doing business over a network (see abstract; and figures 3A-3B) comprising the steps of: receiving a request for transmitting digital information after a start time and before an end time, the digital information having a number of packets (figures 3B and 4; column 3 line 54 to column 4 line 3; column 6 line 40 to column 7 line 11; column 9 lines 15-31; and column 11 lines 6-18); determining the time required to transmit the digital information based on the number of packets and a network speed; scheduling a transmit time for the digital information (figures 3A-3B; column 3 lines 26-53; and column 5 line 1 to column 6 line 39); and accepting the digital information for transmission only if the time required to transmit is less than or equal to the difference between the transmit time and the end time (column 9 lines 32-40; and column 9 line 61 to column 10 line 26).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Sherer et al stated above in the

Art Unit: 2155

method of Takamoto et al for doing business over a network as stated above because it would have optimized network performance and increased system efficiency by scheduling a transmit time for the digital information.

- 6. As to claims 2-3, Sherer et al disclose that the digital information is transmitted at a first price or the digital information is rejected for transmission if the time required to transmit is more than the difference between the transmit time and the end time (figure 3A-3B; and column 9 lines 16-40).
- 7. As to claims 4-5; Takamoto et al disclose that the digital information is accepted for transmission at a second price; and the digital information is rescheduled by the scheduler and accepted for transmission at a second price after the information is rejected (figures 18-20; and column 12 line 55 to column 14 line 10).
- 8. As to claims 6-7, Takamoto et al disclose that receives an acknowledgment of the transmission (see summary of the invention; figures 2-3; and column 7 lines 10-63).

However, Takamoto et al do not disclose that produces a bill on receipt of the acknowledgment, but it would have been obvious and known to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to produces a bill on receipt of the acknowledgment (after providing a service) because it would have improved system management to determine the cost of network usage.

Art Unit: 2155

9. As to claims 8-10, Takamoto et al disclose that one or more portions of the digital information are accepted for transmission and are transmitted; and receives an acknowledgment of the transmission of one or more of the portions (see summary of the invention; figures 2-3; and column 7 lines 10-63).

However, Takamoto et al do not disclose that produces a bill on receipt of the acknowledgment for one or more of the portions, but it would have been obvious and known to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to produces a bill on receipt of the acknowledgment for one or more of the portions (after providing a service) because it would have improved system management to determine the cost of network usage.

- 10. As to claim 11, Takamoto et al disclose that one or more portions of the digital information are initially rejected and then accepted for transmission at one or more second times and at one or more second prices (figures 18-20; and column 12 line 55 to column 14 line 10).
- 11. As to claim 16, Sherer et al disclose that the digital information is scheduled from one or more retransmissions if the time required to transmit is more than the difference between the transmit time and the end time (figures 4A-4B; and column 6 line 12 to column 7 line 47).

Art Unit: 2155

- 12. As to claim 17, Takamoto et al disclose that the digital information is scheduled from one or more retransmissions if no acknowledgment of the transmission of the digital information is received (figures 18-20; and column 12 line 55 to column 14 line 10).
- 13. As to claims 18-19, Sherer et al disclose that the digital information is also not transmitted if one or more criteria are not met, where the criteria include any one or more of the following: a file size, a release time, a deadline, zero or more recipients, zero or more user locations, an acknowledgment, a negative acknowledgment, a partial acknowledgment, a bandwidth, a quality of service, a retransmission count, and a retransmission schedule (column 3 lines 25-53; column 5 line 1 to column 6 line 39; and column 9 lines 16-40).
- 14. Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takamoto et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,903,724) in view of Sherer et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,875,175) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Duquesnois et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,564,382).
- 15. As to claims 12-13, neither Takamoto et al nor Hamai et al discloses that the request has one or more priorities; the priority is that the digital information is transmitted within a time period.

Art Unit: 2155

Duquesnois et al disclose that the request has one or more priorities; the priority is that the digital information is transmitted within a time period (see abstract; column 2 lines 1-14 and 38-59; column 4 lines 44-52; and column 8 lines 5-21).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Duquesnois et al stated above in the method of Takamoto et al for doing business over a network as stated above because it would have increased over all system efficiency and performance.

16. As to claims 14-15, Duquesnois et al disclose that the time period in any one or more of the following: over night, two days, and one week, and the priority is a freight priority that requires the digital information to be transmitted within a freight time period with no acknowledgments (see abstract; column 2 lines 1-14 and 38-59; column 4 lines 44-52; and column 8 lines 5-21).

Additional Reference

- 17. The examiner as of general interest cites the following reference.
 - a. Johnson, Jr., U.S. Patent No. 5,640,504.

Art Unit: 2155

Contact Information

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bharat Barot whose telephone number is (703) 305-4092. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alam, Hosain, can be reached at (703) 308-6662. A central official fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Patent Examiner Bharat Barot

Art Unit 2155

September 08, 2004

BHARAT BAROT
PRIMARY EXAMINER